



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/668,228	09/22/2000	Motoki Kato	SONY-U0147	9929
22850	7590	01/09/2006	EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			TRAN, THAI Q	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	

DATE MAILED: 01/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/668,228	KATO, MOTOKI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thai Tran	2616	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 October 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,5,7-10,12,14-17,19,21,27,29,30,32,33,35-39,41 and 44-47 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 27,29,30,39 and 41 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,5,7-10,12,14-17,19,21,32,33,35-38 and 44-47 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 19 December 2000 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed Oct. 28, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In re pages 14-15, applicant argues, with respect to the rejection of claims 38 and 44-47 under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because, while it is recognized that MPEP § 2106 outlines patentability guidelines for data structures presented in a recording medium format, this has no bearing on the patentability of Claims 38 and 44-47, which are now product-by-process claims and that the fact that product-by-process claims recite a recording medium product does not impact that product-by-process claims are statutory and the determination as to their patentability is not controlled by MPEP § 2106, IV.B.1.

In response, the examiner respectfully disagrees. MPEP § 2113 states that "[E]ven though product-by process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production." It is noted that the product of Claims 38 and 44-47 is a data recording medium and MPEP § 2106.IV.B.1 states when non-functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer – readable medium, it is not structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium but is merely carried by the medium and merely claiming nonfunctional descriptive material stored in a computer – readable medium does not make it statutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Art Unit: 2616

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 38 and 44-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because claims 38 and 44-47 are directed to a data recording medium storing nonfunctional descriptive material.

Data structures not claimed as embodied in computer-readable media are descriptive material per se and are not statutory because they are neither physical “things” nor statutory processes. See, e.g., Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory) and merely claiming nonfunctional descriptive material stored in a computer – readable medium does not make it statutory. See MPEP 2106 IV B 1.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the art rejection of claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1-3, 5, 7-10, 12, 14-17, 19, 21, 32-33, 35-38, and 44-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka et al (US 2004/0047610) in view of Kawara (US 5,838,872) and further in view of Fujinami et al (US 6,363,212 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Tanaka et al discloses a transport stream recording device recording device (Fig. 36) for recording input transport streams on a data recording medium, comprising:

a header attachment section (page 8, paragraph #0124) configured to attach a header to a transport packet having said transport stream and generating a source packet. However, Tanaka et al does not specifically disclose "a detector configured to detect transport packets containing entry points from among said transport packets"; "a map generator configured to generate an entry point map listing with transport packet positions containing said entry points"; "a record section configured to record a predetermined number of said source packets to said data recording medium as aligned units, and recording said entry point map to said recording medium"; and "wherein the data length of said aligned units is equivalent to an integer multiple of the sector length of said data recording medium".

Kawara teaches an image information recording apparatus having a record section of recording a predetermined specified number of said source packets on said data recording medium as aligned units (col. 8, lines 40-54) so that the GOP are aligned with the sectors of the disk and wherein the data length of said aligned units is equivalent to an integer multiple of the sector length of said data recording medium (col. 8, lines 40-54).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the capability of aligning of the GOPs with the sectors of the

Art Unit: 2616

disk by adding stuffing bytes as taught by Kawara into Tanaka et al's system in order to facilitate the capability of high-speed reproducing of the DVD player.

The proposed combination of Tanaka et al and Kawara above does not specifically disclose "a detector configured to detect transport packets containing entry points from among said transport packets"; "a map generator configured to generate an entry point map listing with transport packet positions containing said entry points"; and "that the record section records said entry point map to said recording medium".

Fujinami et al teaches an apparatus for recording video signal and entry packets having "a detector configured to detect transport packets containing entry points from among said transport packets" (entry point detector 31 of Fig. 1, col. 4, lines 36-53); "a map generator configured to generate an entry point map listing with transport packet positions containing said entry points" (entry sector generating circuit 32 of Fig. 1, col. 4, lines 36-53); and "that the record section records said entry point map to said recording medium" (digital storage memory 10 of Fig. 1, col. 4, lines 15-31) to reproduce video data from a record medium in high-speed searching modes wherein it is desirable to successively reproduce I-frames occurring at relatively high intervals of time, for example, every 1, 2, 4, etc. seconds, in the bit stream.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the capability of adding of entry packets to the encoded video signal to be recorded as taught by Fujinami et al into Tanaka et al's system in order to reproduce video data form a record medium in high-speed searching modes wherein it

Art Unit: 2616

is desirable to successively reproduce I-frames occurring at relatively high intervals of time, for example, every 1, 2, 4, etc. seconds, in the bit stream.

Regarding claim 3, Kawara discloses the claimed wherein the beginning of each said aligned unit is periodically placed in the beginning of a sector (the alignment of the GOP with sectors of the disk disclosed in col. 8, lines 40-54).

Regarding claim 5, Kawara discloses the claimed wherein said sector length is equivalent to a multiple of the data length of said aligned unit (the alignment of the GOP with sectors of the disk disclosed in col. 8, lines 40-54).

Regarding claim 7, Fujinami et al discloses the claimed map generator lists of the addresses of an I picture in said entry point map listing (entry sector generating circuit 32 of Fig. 1, col. 4, lines 36-53) and Tanaka et al also discloses the claimed PTS (page 16, paragraph #0209). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the PTS disclosed in the Tanaka et al in order to increase the quality of the reproduced data because PTS is used for synchronizing purpose.

Method claims 8-10, 12, and 14 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in the corresponding apparatus claims 1-3, 5, and 7 above.

Program recording medium claims 15-17, 19, and 21 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in the corresponding apparatus claims 1-3, 5, and 7 above and the microprocessor and the associated programs stored disclosed in col. 17, lines 34-36 of Kawara.

Claim 32 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claim 1 above and Kawara further discloses the claimed classifying means (generating GOP disclosed in col. 6, lines 27-55) and wherein the data quantity of the aligned unit is equivalent to a multiple of the data quantity recordable on one sector of said data recording medium (the alignment of the GOP with sectors of the disk disclosed in col. 8, lines 40-54).

Regarding claim 33, Kawara also discloses counting means (a counter disclosed in col. 10, lines 22-38) for counting the number of transport packets having said transport stream; and

null packet generator means (stuffing bytes S disclosed in col. 8, lines 40-54) for generating null packets according to the count from said counting means.

Regarding claim 35, Fujinami et al discloses the claimed wherein said detecting means detects transport packets containing I picture data as the transport packet containing data serving as reproduction start positions (entry point detector 31 of Fig. 1, col. 4, lines 36-53), and said map generating means writes the count from said counting means for said transport packets containing said I picture data into the entry point map (entry sector generating circuit 32 of Fig. 1, col. 4, lines 36-53 and entry packet disclosed in col. 5, lines 47-67). Tanaka et al also discloses the claimed PTS (page 16, paragraph #0209). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the PTS disclosed in the Tanaka et al in order to increase the quality of the reproduced data because PTS is used for synchronizing purpose.

Method claim 36 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in corresponding apparatus claim 32 above.

Program recording medium claim 37 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in the corresponding apparatus claim 32 above and the microprocessor and the associated programs stored disclosed in col. 17, lines 34-36 of Kawara.

Data recording medium claim 38 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in the corresponding apparatus claim 32 above and the recording medium disclosed in col. 7, lines 51-63 of Kawara.

Regarding claim 44, Kawara also discloses the claimed wherein said source packet contains null packets (stuffing bytes S disclosed in col. 8, lines 40-54).

Regarding claim 45, Kawara discloses the claimed wherein the beginning of each of said aligned unit is periodically placed in the beginning of a sector (the aligned GOPs disclosed in col. 8, lines 40-54).

Regarding claim 46, Kawara discloses the claimed wherein said sector length is equivalent to an integer multiple of the data length of said aligned unit (the aligned GOPs disclosed in col. 8, lines 40-54).

Claim 47 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claim 38 above.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 27, 29-30, 39, and 41 are allowed.

Claims 27, 29-30, 39, and 41 are directed to a transport stream reproduction device for reproducing the transport stream recorded in aligned units on the recording medium. Each independent claim identifies the uniquely distinct features "acquiring an

Art Unit: 2616


entry point map from said recording medium"; "comparing a PTS listed in said entry point map with a designated reproduction start position"; "searching an entry point nearest to said specified reproduction start position"; and "controlling said reproduction section to read-out transport packets of said transport stream from the address nearest said specified reproduction start position in said recording medium". The closest prior art, Kawara (US 5,838,872) and further in view of Fujinami et al (US 6,363,212 B1) disclose conventional video player, either singularly or in combination, fail to anticipate or render the above underlined limitations obvious.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thai Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7382. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Friday, 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTQ


THAI TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER